

# On the Stewart-Lyth inverse problem \*

E. Ayón-Beato, A. A. García, R. Mansilla<sup>†</sup>, C.A. Terrero-Escalante  
 Departamento de Física, CINVESTAV-IPN  
 Apdo. Postal 14-740, 07000, México D.F., México.

<sup>†</sup> Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física, UNAM,  
 Apdo. Postal 20-364, 01000, México D.F., México.

In this paper the Stewart-Lyth inverse problem was rewritten using the comoving scale as the basic parameter. It is shown that some information on the inflaton potential can be obtained taking into account only the scalar power spectrum.

## I. INTRODUCTION

During inflation, the inflaton and graviton fields undergo quantum fluctuations. These fluctuations generated the seeds for the large-scale structure of the Universe and left their imprints in the anisotropies currently observed in the Cosmic Microwave Background Radiation (CMBR) (for detailed explanation see Refs. [1] and [2]).

The Stewart and Lyth equations [3] are the state of the art for predicting the anisotropies for most inflationary models [4]. Anticipating the near future launching of satellites able to measure the CMBR anisotropies with unprecedented accuracy, we would like to find out whether the inflationary potential can be obtained from the Stewart-Lyth equations by using as input observational information about the density perturbations and gravitational waves spectra.

## II. THE STEWART-LYTH EQUATIONS

The theoretical frame for the Stewart-Lyth calculation is the flat Friedmann-Robertson-Walker universe containing a single scalar field equivalent to a perfect fluid with equations of motions given by

$$H^2 = \frac{\kappa}{3} \left( \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + V(\phi) \right), \quad (1)$$

$$\ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = -V'(\phi), \quad (2)$$

where  $\phi$  is the inflaton,  $V(\phi)$  is the inflationary potential,  $H = \dot{a}/a$  is the Hubble parameter,  $a$  is the scale factor, dot and prime mean the derivatives with respect to cosmic time and  $\phi$  respectively,  $\kappa = 8\pi/m_{\text{Pl}}^2$  is the Einstein constant and  $m_{\text{Pl}}$  is the Planck mass.

In this frame the first three slow-roll parameters are respectively defined as [5] (we will write them here as in Ref. [6] but using  $\kappa$ ):

$$\epsilon(\phi) \equiv 3 \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} \left[ \frac{\dot{\phi}^2}{2} + V(\phi) \right]^{-1} = \frac{2}{\kappa} \left[ \frac{H'}{H} \right]^2, \quad (3)$$

$$\eta(\phi) \equiv -\frac{\ddot{\phi}}{H\dot{\phi}} = \epsilon - \frac{\epsilon'}{\sqrt{2\kappa\epsilon}}, \quad (4)$$

$$\xi(\phi) \equiv \left[ \epsilon\eta - \left( \frac{2}{\kappa}\epsilon \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \eta' \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (5)$$

Power-law inflation [7] is a particular case of inflationary model where:

$$a(t) \propto t^p, \quad (6)$$

$$H(\phi) \propto \exp \left( -\sqrt{\kappa/2p} \phi \right), \quad (7)$$

$$V(\phi) \propto \exp \left( -\sqrt{2\kappa/p} \phi \right), \quad (8)$$

---

\*Published in the Proceedings of III DGFM-SMF Workshop on Gravitation and Mathematical-Physics, León, México, 2000.

with  $p$  a positive constant. It follows from Eqs. (3), (4) and (5) that in this case the slow-roll parameters are constant and equal each other.

Exact expressions for the asymptotic scalar (density perturbations) and tensorial (gravitational waves) power spectra for the case of power-law inflation was correspondingly derived by Lyth and Stewart [8] and Abbot and Wise [9]. Assuming that the deviation of the higher slow-roll parameters from  $\epsilon$  is small (power-law approximation) and that  $\epsilon$  is small with respect to unity (slow-roll approximation), Stewart and Lyth [3] derived next-to-leading order expressions for both spectra. In terms of the spectral indexes, these expressions are

$$1 - n_s(k) \simeq 4\epsilon - 2\eta + 8(C+1)\epsilon^2 - (10C+6)\epsilon\eta + 2C\xi^2, \quad (9)$$

$$n_T(k) \simeq -2\epsilon [1 + (2C+3)\epsilon - 2(C+1)\eta], \quad (10)$$

where the notation is that of Ref. [6],  $n_s(k)$  and  $n_T(k)$  are the scalar and tensorial spectral indices respectively,  $k$  is the wave number of the comoving scale and  $C \approx -0.73$  is a constant related to the Euler constant originating in the expansion of the Gamma function. The symbol  $\simeq$  is used to indicate that these equations were obtained using the power-law and slow-roll approximations. Hereafter we will use the equal sign in our calculations, but the mean of approximation should be added whenever it applies.

Giving an expression for the inflaton potential the definitions (3), (4) and (5) should be substituted in the Stewart-Lyth equations (9) and (10) to obtain the scale-dependent spectral indexes. We should note that the spectral indexes are directly related with the power spectra (for details see Ref. [6]).

### III. THE INVERSE PROBLEM

If we denote  $T \equiv \dot{\phi}^2/2$  and use the definitions (3), (4), (5) and the equations (1), (2) then we obtain in a straightforward fashion:

$$\epsilon = 3 \frac{T}{T+V} = \frac{\kappa T}{H^2}, \quad (11)$$

$$\eta = \kappa \frac{dT}{dH^2}, \quad (12)$$

$$\xi^2 = \kappa \epsilon \frac{dT}{dH^2} + 2\kappa \epsilon H^2 \frac{d^2 T}{d(H^2)^2}. \quad (13)$$

Denoting  $\tau \equiv \ln H^2$ ,  $\delta(k) \equiv n_T(k)/2$  and  $\Delta(k) \equiv [n_s(k) - 1]/2$ , substituting expressions (11), (12) and (13) in equations (9) and (10), and rewriting the indexes equations in terms of the first slow-roll parameter  $\epsilon$  and its derivatives with respect to  $\tau$  ( $\hat{\epsilon} \equiv d\epsilon/d\tau$  and  $\hat{\hat{\epsilon}} \equiv d^2\epsilon/d\tau^2$ ) the equations (9) and (10) become:

$$2C\epsilon\hat{\hat{\epsilon}} - (2C+3)\epsilon\hat{\epsilon} - \hat{\epsilon} + \epsilon^2 + \epsilon + \Delta = 0, \quad (14)$$

$$2(C+1)\epsilon\hat{\epsilon} - \epsilon^2 - \epsilon - \delta = 0. \quad (15)$$

The *Stewart-Lyth inverse problem* consists of, giving expressions for the spectral indexes, to solve equations (14) and (15) for  $\epsilon$  and to find the corresponding inflaton potential.

The second order non-linear differential equation (14) was first introduced in Ref. [10] but their approach for the potential reconstruction is incomplete. First of all, they considered  $\Delta(k)$  as a constant. Moreover, in Ref. [10] authors did not take into account the equation for the tensorial spectral index (15).

To proceed with the analysis of Eqs. (14) and (15) we note that in these equations  $\epsilon$  and its derivatives depend on  $\tau$  while  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  explicitly depend on  $k$ . So, we shall rewrite Eqs. (14) and (15) in terms of  $k$ . We choose  $k$  because this is the parameter related to observations. Using the equation derived in Ref. [6] that relates the inflaton value with the corresponding scale,

$$\frac{d \ln k}{d\phi} = \frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{H}{H'}, \quad (16)$$

after conversion we obtain

$$\frac{C(\epsilon-1)^2}{2\epsilon} \tilde{\epsilon} + \frac{C(\epsilon-1)}{2} \left( \frac{\tilde{\epsilon}}{\epsilon} \right)^2 - [(2C+3)\epsilon + 1] \frac{\epsilon-1}{2\epsilon} \tilde{\epsilon} + \epsilon^2 + \epsilon + \Delta = 0, \quad (17)$$

$$(C+1)(\epsilon-1)\tilde{\epsilon} - \epsilon^2 - \epsilon - \delta = 0. \quad (18)$$

where  $\tilde{\epsilon} \equiv d\epsilon/d\ln k$  and  $\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}} \equiv d^2\epsilon/d(\ln k)^2$ . Differentiating Eq. (18) with respect to  $\ln k$  we can replace the expressions for  $\tilde{\epsilon}$  and  $\tilde{\tilde{\epsilon}}$  obtained from this equation into Eq. (17). After that, we obtain the following algebraic equation for  $\epsilon$ :

$$\epsilon(k)^4 + P\epsilon(k)^3 + Q(\tilde{\delta}, \delta, \Delta)\epsilon(k)^2 + R(\tilde{\delta}, \delta)\epsilon(k) + S(\delta) = 0, \quad (19)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P &= C + 2, \\ Q(\tilde{\delta}, \delta, \Delta) &= -(C + 1) \left[ C\tilde{\delta} - (2C + 3)\delta + 2(C + 1)\Delta - 1 \right], \\ R(\tilde{\delta}, \delta) &= (C + 1)C\tilde{\delta} + (2C + 1)\delta, \\ S(\delta) &= C\delta^2. \end{aligned}$$

Using observational data of the spectra as input in Eq. (19) one can solve the Stewart-Lyth inverse problem. As an example, one can see that for  $\Delta$  and  $\delta$  constants the unique solution is just power-law inflation, i.e.,  $\epsilon = \text{constant}$ .

The roots of Eq. (19) can be readily calculated but they are very complicated expressions not necessary for further analysis. Instead, we can see that the roots will have the form:

$$\epsilon_i = \epsilon_i(\Delta, \delta, \tilde{\delta}), \quad (20)$$

where  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ . From set (20), those  $j$  roots with  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$  (in, at least, a finite range of the scale) should be chosen. Then,  $j \leq i$ .

Differentiating Eq. (20) with respect to  $\ln k$  and substituting in Eq. (18) we will obtain equations of the form:

$$\mathcal{F}_j(\Delta, \tilde{\Delta}, \delta, \tilde{\delta}, \tilde{\tilde{\delta}}) = 0. \quad (21)$$

We can see that although Eqs. (21) can not be solved for both spectra, to obtain explicit expressions for  $\epsilon_j$  it is, in principle, sufficient to have information about  $\Delta(k)$  or  $\delta(k)$ , or a relationship between them. If a functional form for one of the spectra is proposed and the other one determined from Eq. (21), the corresponding inflaton potential can be determined uniquely. Having explicit expressions of  $\epsilon_j$  as functions of  $k$  equations (3) and (16) can be used to obtain  $H_j(k)$ :

$$H_j(k) = H_{0j} \exp \left[ \int \frac{\epsilon_j(k)}{\epsilon_j(k) - 1} d\ln k \right], \quad (22)$$

where  $H_{0j}$  are integration constants. Then, using the equations of motion (1) and (2), and definition (11) the potential as a function of scale is obtained:

$$V_j(k) = \frac{H_j^2(k)}{\kappa} (3 - \epsilon_j(k)). \quad (23)$$

On the other hand, using definitions (3) and (16) the scalar field as a function of the scale is given by ( $\phi_{0j}$  are integration constants):

$$\phi_j(k) = \phi_{0j} - \sqrt{\frac{2}{\kappa}} \int \frac{\sqrt{\epsilon_j(k)}}{\epsilon_j(k) - 1} d\ln k. \quad (24)$$

Finally, the inflationary potential as function of the inflaton could be given parametrically

$$V_j(\phi_j) = \begin{cases} \phi_j(k) \\ V_j(k) \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

The above expression defines the set of functional forms for the inflationary potential that are consistent with the slow-roll and power-law approximations and the information used as input to solve Eq. (21).

#### IV. SUMMARY AND OUTLOOK

In general, information about the functional form of only one of the spectra (or a relationship between them) is sufficient in order to find the inflaton potential. In principle, one can take the scalar spectrum derived from anisotropies measurements and try to solve the second order differential equation that remains for the tensorial spectral index. Nevertheless, being the tensorial modes currently difficult to measure, the simplest way of solving the Stewart-Lyth inverse problem seems to be to fix the spectrum of tensorial perturbations and solve an ordinary first order differential equation for the scalar index. Then, one can compare the analytic result with the observations.

If an expression for the first slow-roll parameter as a function of the scale could be obtained, the corresponding functional form for the inflaton potential could be found, at least parametrically. This expression for the potential will be constrained by the slow-roll and the power-law approximations and by the input information used to solve the above mentioned differential equation.

In forthcoming papers we will explore the feasibility of this approach by fixing the functional form of the gravitational waves spectrum with regards to the underlying physics and measurements.

#### V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported in part by the SNI and the CONACyT (México) under project 32138-E.

- 
- [1] A. D. Linde, *Inflation and Quantum Cosmology*, (Academic Press, Inc., San Diego, California, 1990).
  - [2] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, *The Early Universe*, (Adisson-Wesley, Redwood City, California, 1990).
  - [3] E. D. Stewart and D. H. Lyth, Phys. Lett. B **302**, 171 (1993).
  - [4] I. J. Grivell and A. R. Liddle, Phys. Rev. D **54**, 12 (1996).
  - [5] A. R. Liddle, P. Parsons and J. D. Barrow, Phys. Rev. D **50**, 7222 (1994).
  - [6] J. E. Lidsey, A. R. Liddle, E. W. Kolb, E. J. Copeland, T. Barreiro and M. Abney, Rev. Mod. Phys., **69**, 373 (1997).
  - [7] F. Lucchin and S. Matarrese, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 1316 (1985).
  - [8] D. H. Lyth and E. D. Stewart, Phys. Lett. **274**, 168 (1992).
  - [9] L. F. Abbot and M. B. Wise, Nucl. Phys. B **244**, 541 (1984).
  - [10] E. W. Mielke and F. E. Schunck, Phys. Rev. D **52**, 2 (1995).